

Evidence of TPO Misconduct in Determination PO-14071

De: Ian McInnes (ian.mcinnnes@yahoo.com.mx)

Para: info.practicallaw@thomsonreuters.com

Fecha: lunes, 2 de septiembre de 2019 12:47 GMT-5

I refer to the Determination for case PO-14071. You have rehashed and promulgated a document that contains demonstrable gross misstatements and misrepresentations, both over the facts of the case, and of anti-money laundering regulations. Many, if not all, of these are quite clearly deliberate. There are also such serious internal inconsistencies that it would not withstand close scrutiny even by an uninformed reader.

Furthermore, it follows highly irregular behaviour by TPO, including clearly-documented misconduct in public office in refusing to investigate, instead imposing a "pragmatic solution" on the complainant. This is in gross violation of the Pension Schemes Act 1993/2017, and in clear protection of Scottish Widows from serious (criminal) charges. This [email of 31 October 2017 from the Adjudicator](#) alone is clear evidence of this.

Instead of attempting to summarise the considerable evidence here, I refer you to my comprehensive website, which includes the full correspondence:

<https://www.elpobrecorderito.com/PersonalPensionFiasco/>

Although this case is a matter for the police, it has become clear that [the Metropolitan Police do not investigate reports against TPO](#) (*two reports I made directly against TPO were simply ignored, whilst a third raised against the Adjudicator was responded to but clearly dropped once they realised it was about TPO*).

But if you have any concern for the rule of law, you will evaluate my information, and act accordingly. I hope that you will reply to this email, indicating that you have done this.

Yours sincerely,

Ian McInnes.